

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking nu	ımher
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 060652000 Loc	al regulatory authority: Beck	
Property address: 14658 Dahlgren Beach Rd. Lake Park, MN 56	554	
Owner/representative: Jason Dahlgren		Owner's phone: 952-239-2831
Brief system description: Concrete tank to drainfield.		<u> </u>
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/27/2022		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant - Notice	of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	upgraded, replaced, or its receipt of this notice or wi	blic health and safety (ITPHS) must be s use discontinued within ten months of ithin a shorter period if required by section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect replaced, or use discontino ordinance.	ground water must be upgraded, nued within the time required by local
 ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing to ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componen ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance componen ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.25 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failing © ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comp Comments or recommendations 	#3) – Imminent threat to pub #3) – Failing to protect grou 00 (Compliance component # 0 protect groundwater	ndwater ‡3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be m abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usag	ada dua to unknown condition	status of this system. No as during system construction, possible
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form	e and correct, to the best of m	y knowledge, and that this information
Business name: Stoll Inspections Inc.	Certification numl	ber: 7526
nspector signature:	License numl	
(This document has been electronically signed)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	one: 218-839-1849
Necessary or locally required supporting docu		
 Soil observation logs □ Other information (list): 	☐ Tank Integrity Assess	
nttps://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	Use your preferred relay sen	vice • Available in alternative formate

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes¹ ⊠ No	Other:	
	D Voor Male	☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates Imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		on:
Compliance criteria:	component #2	Attached supporting documentation	on:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business:	Deweys
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes¹ ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation	Deweys
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes¹ ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Deweys ess: L2884 9/27/2022
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes¹ ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Deweys ess: L2884 9/27/2022 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes¹ ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Deweys ess: L2884 9/27/2022
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ ates the system	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Deweys less: L2884 9/27/2022 lach) hin three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ ates the system	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the continuation of the continua	Deweys less: L2884 9/27/2022 lach) hin three years) ssment complies with
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ ates the system	Attached supporting documentation Pumped at time of inspection Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached June 1) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the minus of the maintenance) (must be with the minus of	Deweys less: L2884 9/27/2022 ach) hin three years) esment complies with

•	Other compliance conditions – compliance component #3 of 3	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown	ecured?
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	book 1.00
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	ranga da ang ang atau ang
	Opensius assessed and older as DARD* Counting and HA	C = ===
٠.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	OT 5 🖂 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \square Yes \square No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

5. Soil separation - Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ ⊠ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Soil observation logs completed for th □ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach) □ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	vertical
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed ordinance.	36" >72" >36" 36" owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results	system is	1042/2 4" 1042/2 4" 1042/2 4" 1042/2 4" 1042/2 52" 1042/2 52" 1042/2 52" 1042/2 52" 1042/2 52"	

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

•	Parcel Number:	0606	52000	**	
W	Date & Initial:	9-27-2	Stam Promite	PJS	•
draktinida, welle will	which includes and identifi in 100 feet of system (but) wellands, and sopographic	es a graphic son	the his free or inclinate	is all sulback distance	es, all verilla livetile and s
CHARLES OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERTY OF	wettends, and topographic	s parkings (re. p.	ngs). Mga).	nichang Suganp-us	i, lot Hrun, sould vigit all a
1					
1					1
					,
f					,
					1
1				•	1
.		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1		1
· !		م ال			•
1		Dwelling)		1
	<u> </u>	- 1			}
! :			-	Tol tank	
i)	•		1
,					1
].	1			
ž		·			1
	1	1	• 2§	•	1
					1
				manufacture and an experimental statement of the statemen	and the first of the specimens of the sp
			Jahlgren.	Beach rd	
1		4			The same of the last page of the same page of the same
To Lake					
Luke					
-					
	1.6	l			
MODIDONA	Comments:	Sostic	in comp	1.	

Fem2el2